

**Residential quality in central zones of Podkarpackie towns / Justyna Kobylarczyk. – Saarbrücken, 2010**

Spis treści

<b>I. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>1. PRELIMINARY REMARKS</b>	<b>9</b>
1.1. Reasons for selecting the subject of the dissertation and its present-day relevance	9
<b>2. KEY PROPOSALS, AIM AND SCOPE OF THE WORK</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1. Key proposals of the work	11
2.2. Aim of the work	11
2.3. Scope of the work	11
2.3.1. Subject matter scope of the work	11
2.3.2. Time scope of the work	12
2.3.3. Territorial scope of the work	12
<b>3. THE APPLIED METHOD OF WORK</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1. Structure of the dissertation	13
3.2. Research method	14
3.3. The present state of research, including a review of subject matter publications	20
3.4. Basic terminology	25
<b>II. ANALYTICAL PART</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>II A. ANALYSIS OF THE THEORETICAL MATERIAL</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>4. CENTRAL ZONE OF A SMALL TOWN AS A RESIDENTIAL ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1. Quality of residential environment - contemporary requirements of comfort of living	31
4.1.1. Privacy and tranquillity	39
4.1.2. Contact with nature	41
4.1.3. Safety	44
4.1.4. Harmony	47
4.1.5. Accessibility of basic services programme	49
<b>5. CENTRAL ZONE OF A SMALL TOWN AS A MULTI-FUNCTIONAL SPACE CREATING AN ATTRACTIVE PLACE TO LIVE IN</b>	<b>51</b>
5.1. Variety of attractions and functions	51

5.2. Opportunities for relaxation and recreation	54
5.3. Clarity of structure	55
5.4. Cultural heritage and its influence upon the central zone	59
5.5. Aesthetic values	61
<b>6. SUMMARY OF THE THEORETICAL MATERIAL</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>II B. ANALYSIS OF THE SELECTED EXAMPLES</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>7. CRITERIA FOR THE SELECTION OF TOWNS AND AREAS, RESEARCH METHODS</b>	<b>65</b>
7.1. Polish examples - Jarosław, Łańcut and Przeworsk	65
7.1.1. Criteria for the selection of towns and areas	65
7.1.2. Characteristics of the selected towns and their areas	67
7.2. The example of a town abroad	74
7.2.1. Criteria for the selection of the town and its areas	74
7.2.2. Characteristics of the selected town and its areas	74
7.3. Research methods - characteristics	77
7.3.1. The surveys	81
7.3.2. Urban analysis	92
<b>8. THE SURVEYS - ANALYSIS</b>	<b>97</b>
8.1. Survey I - The quality of residential environment in the area of Jarosław, Łańcut and Przeworsk central zones	97
8.1.1. The Jarosław survey results analysis and conclusions	97
8.1.2. The Łańcut survey results analysis and conclusions	111
8.1.3. The Przeworsk survey results analysis and conclusions	113
8.2. Survey II - Pro-health character of the residential complex in the selected areas of Jarosław, Łańcut, Przeworsk and Levoča central zones	117
8.2.1. Results analysis and conclusions from the second survey for Jarosław	117
8.2.2. Summary of the second survey for Łańcut	129
8.2.3. Summary of the second survey for Przeworsk	131
8.2.4. Summary of the second survey for Levoča	133
8.3. Survey III - Pro-health character of the residential complex in the selected areas of Jarosław, Łańcut and Levoča central zones	134
8.3.1. Results analysis and conclusions from the third survey for Jarosław	134
8.3.2. Summary of the third survey for Łańcut	144
8.3.3. Summary of the third survey for Przeworsk	145
8.3.4. Summary of the third survey for Levoča	145
<b>9. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS FROM THE SECOND AND THIRD SURVEYS</b>	<b>147</b>

<b>10. URBAN ANALYSIS</b>	<b>147</b>
10.1. Analysis of the selected areas of Jarosław, Łańcut, Przeworsk and Levoča central zones from the standpoint of conditions supporting healthy residential environment	149
10.1.1. The Jarosław central zone selected areas analysis and conclusions	149
10.1.2. The Łańcut central zone selected areas analysis and conclusions	167
10.1.3. The Przeworsk central zone selected areas analysis and conclusions	177
10.1.4. The Levoča central zone selected areas analysis and conclusions	186
<b>III SYNTHESIS</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>11. SYNTHESIS ON THE BASIS OF THE PERFORMED ANALYSES</b>	<b>195</b>
11.1. Comparative presentation and conclusions from the photographic documentation of the analysed areas of Jarosław, Łańcut, Przeworsk and Levoča central zones	195
11.1.1. Development characteristics	195
11.1.2. Contact with nature	197
11.1.3. Community	199
11.1.4. Clarity of structure	201
11.1.5. Accessibility of the basic use programme	202
11.1.6. Conclusions from the photographic presentation	202
11.2. Comparative presentation of the first survey results for the analysed areas in central zones of the towns under research together with conclusions	204
11.2.1. The evaluation of comfort of living	205
11.2.2. Evaluation of services accessibility	206
11.2.3. Safety	207
11.2.4. Aesthetics	208
11.3. Comparative presentation of the second survey results for the analysed areas in central zones of the towns under research together with conclusions	208
11.4. Comparative presentation of the third survey results for the analysed areas in central zones of the towns under research together with conclusions	210
11.5. Comparative presentation of the urban analysis results for the selected areas in central zones of the towns under research together with conclusions	211
11.6. Evaluation of the conditions supporting a healthy residential environment on the basis of urban analysis	225
11.6.1. Comparative results for Areas I of Jarosław, Łańcut, Przeworsk and Levoča central zones	225
11.6.2. Comparative results for Areas II of Jarosław, Łańcut, Przeworsk and Levoča central zones	229
11.6.3. Comparative results for Areas III of Jarosław, Łańcut, Przeworsk	

and Levoča central zones	234
11.6.4. Comparative results for Areas IV of Jarosław, Łańcut, Przeworsk and Levoča central zones	238
11.6.5. Commentary on the research results	242
<b>IV. CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>12. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>252</b>
<b>List of illustrations</b>	<b>258</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>263</b>

oprac. BPK